arXiv:1604.07582v1 [physics.chem-ph] 26 Apr 2016

Direct Observation of Molecular Oxygen Production from Carbon Dioxide

Seyedreza Larimian,[1] Sonia Erattupuzha,[1] Sebastian Mai,[2] Philipp Marquetand,[2]
Leticia González,[2] Andrius Baltuška,[1] Markus Kitzler,[1] and Xinhua Xie[1,*]

[1] *Photonics Institute, Vienna University of Technology, A-1040 Vienna, Austria*
[2] *Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna, Austria*

(Dated: April 27, 2016)

Oxygen (O_2) is one of the most important elements required to sustain life. The concentration of O_2 on Earth has been accumulated over millions of years and has a direct connection with that of CO_2. Further, CO_2 plays an important role in many other planetary atmospheres. Therefore, molecular reactions involving CO_2 are critical for studying the atmospheres of such planets. Existing studies on the dissociation of CO_2 are exclusively focused on the C–O bond breakage. Here we report first experiments on the direct observation of molecular Oxygen formation from CO_2 in strong laser fields with a reaction microscope. Our accompanying simulations suggest that CO_2 molecules may undergo bending motion during and after strong-field ionization which supports the molecular Oxygen formation process. The observation of the molecular Oxygen formation from CO_2 may trigger further experimental and theoretical studies on such processes with laser pulses, and provide hints in studies of the O_2 and O_2^+ abundance in CO_2-dominated planetary atmospheres.

PACS numbers: 33.80.Gj, 42.50.Hz, 82.50.-m

O_2 production is one of the most important processes for the biosphere of the Earth. Oxygen molecules are mainly generated via the photosynthesis by green plants and algae from carbon dioxide and water: $nCO_2 + nH_2O \xrightarrow{light} (CH_2O)_n + nO_2$ [1]. CO_2 is not only important for the atmosphere on Earth, it is also the dominant compound of the atmosphere on other planets, such as Mars and Venus. One of the most crucial tasks for the quest to establish a human settlement on Mars is the production of O_2 [2]. Because more than 95% of the atmosphere on Mars is CO_2, it will be extremely helpful if O_2 can be produced directly from CO_2. In the past, it was observed that dissociation of CO_2 via absorption of photons leads to carbon monoxide (CO) and oxygen atoms (O) [3]. However, theoretical simulations suggested the possibility of generating O_2 through the dissociation of a CO_2 molecule [4]. A recent experiment showed the evidence of O_2 formation from CO_2 molecules after UV excitation through the detection of C^+ [5]. So far, O_2 formation from CO_2 has not been directly observed.

In the past decade, intense ultrashort laser pulses have been successfully applied to trigger and control molecular reactions such as dissociation and isomerization [6–15]. When a molecule interacts with a strong laser field, electrons from outer molecular orbitals can be excited or removed through tunneling or over-the-barrier ionization which may prepare the molecule in an excited state or a state with a certain charge. As a consequence, the excited or ionized molecule may undergo severe geometrical reconfiguration and may also break into several fragments or form new chemical bonds. Because of the importance of CO_2 in many research disciplines, strong-field induced reactions of CO_2 have been experimentally studied with ultrashort lasers by several research groups. However, these studies mainly focused on the topic of ionization and dissociation [16]. In this paper, for the first time to our knowledge, we report on the direct observation of O_2^+ formation from CO_2 induced by strong laser pulses with a reaction microscope. Previous studies revealed that neutral O_2 molecules can be conveniently obtained through the neutralization of O_2^+ at metal surfaces [17], which makes the O_2^+ formation method presented here a candidate for producing breathable O_2 direct from CO_2. Although the efficiency of the reaction leading to O_2^+ formation is rather low, our results can serve as a proof-of-principle experiment on the laser-induced O_2^+ formation directly from CO_2. Our quantum chemical simulations indicate that the bending motion during and after the strong field interaction plays a critical role in the O_2^+ formation process.

In the experiments we performed coincidence measurements of ions from doubly ionized CO_2 with a reaction microscope [18]. Laser pulses with a pulse duration (full width at half maximum of the peak intensity) of 25 fs, a central wavelength of 790 nm, a repetition rate of 5 kHz and peak laser intensities on the order of 10^{14} W/cm^2 are produced with a home-built femtosecond Ti:Sa laser amplifier system. The reaction microscope consists of a two-stage gas jet arrangement to provide an internally cold ultrasonic gas jet of CO_2 with a diameter of about 170 μm, and an ultra-high vacuum interaction chamber ($1. \times 10^{-10}$ mbar). The laser beam is focused in the interaction chamber onto the gas jet with a spherical silver mirror with a focal length of 60 mm. A homogenous DC field of 10.55 V/cm is applied along the axis of the TOF spectrometer to accelerate positively charged particles to a position-sensitive detector. The laser field is linearly polarized along the spectrometer axis. With the measured position and TOF data, the three-dimensional

momentum vector of a certain ion can be retrieved. All possible two-body fragmentation processes can be distinguished using the momentum conservation selection to a pair of ionic fragments. The laser intensities of our measurements are calibrated using the shape of the TOF spectrum of protons from the dissociation of H_2^+ in the strong laser field [19]. More details of the experimental setup can be found in our previous publications [20, 21].



FIG. 1. (a) Measured time-of-flight spectrum of ions. (b,c) Measured photo-ion-photo-ion coincidence distribution without (b) and with (c) coincidence selection.

We start by focusing on the identification of O_2^+ formation from CO_2^{2+} in our measurements. In Fig. 1(a) we present the time-of-flight (TOF) spectrum of ions measured when 25 fs laser pulses with a peak intensity of 1.6×10^{14} W/cm^2 interact with isolated CO_2 molecules. The peaks of singly and doubly ionized CO_2 molecules are clearly visible. Two-body fragmentation channels can be unambiguously identified in the photo-ion-photo-ion coincidence (PIPICO) distribution. Due to momentum conservation, two particles from the same molecule exhibit a correlation between their TOFs, which leads to sharp parabolic lines in the PIPICO distribution, as shown in Fig. 1(b). In this figure, the strongest parabolic line is identified as the $CO^+ + O^+$ fragmentation channel. The parabolic line corresponding to a weak fragmentation channel can be enhanced by applying coincidence conditions using the retrieved momentum vectors. The PIPICO distribution with coincidence selection perpendicular to the spectrometer axis is depicted in Fig. 1(c), in which the signal corresponding to the formation of oxygen molecule appears with a high signal-to-noise ratio. Our measurements show that the yield of the O_2^+ channel is about three orders of magnitude weaker than that of the CO^+ channel. Nevertheless, we have directly observed the production of oxygen molecule from doubly ionized CO_2 in a strong laser field.



FIG. 2. Cut through the measured momentum distributions along the laser pulse propagation direction (x) and the polarization axis (z) for the CO^+ channel (a) and the O_2^+ channel (b) with $|p_y - 30| < 5$ a.u.. (c) Measured angular distribution derived from (a) and (b), respectively, for the CO^+ channel (blue points) and the O_2^+ channel (red points). (d) Kinetic energy release distribution for the CO^+ channel (blue points) and the O_2^+ channel (red points). (e) Molecular orbitals of CO_2 calculated with the quantum chemical software GAMESS [22].

From the data selected with the coincidence condition we retrieved the three-dimensional momentum vectors of the two involved ions and the kinetic energy release (KER) of the two observed fragmentation channels. The measured momentum distributions of the CO^+ and the O_2^+ in the laser polarization plane are presented in Figs. 2(a) and (b), respectively. It can be seen that for both channels the signals are mainly dis-

tributed along the laser polarization direction. To see this more clearly, we plot the angular distributions obtained by integrating the measured signals over radial and azimuthal coordinates in Fig. 2(c). The angular distribution contains information on the molecular orbitals involved in the ionization process [13]. The calculated three highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) are illustrated in Fig. 2(e) [22]. According to the Molecular-Ammosov-Delone- Krainov (MO-ADK) theory [23], the probabilities for ionization from HOMO and HOMO-1 peak along the direction perpendicular to the molecular axis, whereas that from HOMO-2 peaks along the molecular axis. The measured angular distributions [Fig. 2(c)] indicate that the removal of electrons from HOMO-2 might be involved in the double ionization of CO_2 before both fragmentation processes.

Further information about the involved states before the fragmentation can be obtained from the KER distribution of the two-body fragmentation channels, presented in Fig. 2(d). The KER value is given by the energy difference between the initially created dication and the final fragmentation products. The mean values of the KER are 5.9 eV and 6.6 eV for the CO^+ channel and the O_2^+ channel, respectively. The width of the KER distribution is related to both the width of the nuclear wave packet and the potential energy surfaces involved in the ionization process. The measured FWHMs are about 2.0 eV for the both channels, which may suggest that both fragmentation channels originate from an electronic state with a similar curvature.



FIG. 3. Simulated potential energy curves for the CO_2 neutral (solid red lines), the cation (dotted green lines) and the dication (dashed blue lines) over the bending angle. A possible pathway leading to O_2^+ formation is indicated with thick potential energy curves and arrows.

To gain insight into the O_2^+ formation mechanism from CO_2^{2+}, we performed quantum chemical simulations. In order to obtain a balanced and accurate description of all electronic states, we employed CASSCF (complete active space self-consistent field), augmented by MS-CASPT2 (multi-state complete active space perturbation theory second order) to include electronic correlation effects [24]. The calculations of potential energy curves were performed within C_{2v} symmetry, with the twofold rotation axis perpendicular to the line connecting the two oxygen atoms. In this setup, the active space contained 4 orbitals of a_1 symmetry, 3 orbitals of b_1, 1 orbital of a_2 and 2 orbitals of b_2 symmetry. In the active space, all possible distributions of 12, 11 or 10 electrons were considered, respectively, while 10 electrons occupied the $2s$ orbitals of oxygen and all $1s$ orbitals. The calculations were performed with Molcas 8.0 [25] and the ANO-RCC-VTZP basis set. Note that the calculations did only consider the 2 lowest states in each symmetry, while Rydberg states were not considered. Figure 3 shows the potential energy curves of these 24 states (8 neutral, 8 cationic, 8 dicationic) over the bending angle.

To form O_2 from CO_2 the molecule should first form a triangular geometry. However, as shown in Fig. 3, the low-energy dicationic states (i.e., below approximately 46 eV) exhibit minima at the linear geometry, thus providing no force to form a bent geometry. Hence, it is unlikely that the triangular geometry is formed in the dicationic states after the laser field has faded. More likely, therefore, is the formation of the triangular species during the strong field interaction. There are a number of neutral and cationic states with a gradient pointing towards a bent geometry. Nuclear wave packet dynamics in these excited, intermediate states [26] could thus lead to the bending of the molecule, eventually resulting in the formation of a triangular intermediate.

In Fig. 3, we highlight a possible excitation pathway for the dynamics leading to O_2^+ formation: the CO_2 is initially in the neutral ground state (thick red line). Through strong field nonresonant ionization the system is promoted to an excited state of CO_2^+ (thick green line), which has a minimum at an angle of approximately 120°. Hence, after the first ionization step the nuclear wave packet evolves towards smaller bending angles, and the molecule starts to bend. When the wave packet approaches the mentioned minimum, the molecule is further ionized to an excited state of CO_2^{2+} (thick blue line), which provides a driving force towards even smaller bond angles. Eventually, in the dicationic state the molecule forms a triangular intermediate, which may release C^+ to form O_2^+.

In order to investigate whether bending in CO_2 could be fast enough to occur within the laser pulse duration, we performed simulations on field-free semi-classical dynamics in the first neutral excited state which has a similar shape as the highlighted state of CO_2^+. Indeed, within 25 fs a bending angle of about 110° was reached, which

is consistent with our hypothesis that a triangular geometry can form within the pulse duration.

One should note, however, that due to the influence of the strong laser field, the relevance of the potential energy curves shown in Figure 3 is somewhat limited. In particular, due to the Stark effect the potentials may be significantly distorted in a complicated, time-dependent manner. The Stark effect and the difficulties of accurately describing resonant multi-photon absorption and ionization make any prediction of the dynamics of CO_2 during the presence of the laser field extremely difficult. Hence, the potential energy curves only serve a qualitative understanding of the O_2^+ formation from the CO_2 dication.



FIG. 4. (a) Ratio between the yields of O_2^+ and that of stable CO_2^{2+} as a function of the laser peak intensity. (b) Ratio between the yields of O_2^+ and CO^+ channels as a function of the laser peak intensity. The blue line in (a) and the gray line in (b) are used to guide the eyes.

A interesting aspect of the formation of O_2^+ from CO_2 is the efficiency of this process compared to the CO^+ channel. Previously, it has been demonstrated that molecular reaction dynamics such as bond breakage and bond formation can be controlled by the parameters of the applied laser pulse [6, 9, 11–13, 15, 27–29]. One of the most important parameters is the peak of a laser pulse. It has been shown that the peak intensity can be used to control double ionization pathways [13, 20].

In the present measurements, we adjusted the laser intensity by reflecting off a certain amount of the laser beam using one or more pellicles. Fig. 4 illustrates the efficiency of O_2^+ production in comparison with that of CO_2^{2+} and CO^+. An obvious intensity dependence of the O_2^+ yield relative to that of CO_2^{2+} is visible in Fig. 4(a). With the increase of the laser peak intensity from 1.7 to 6.1 $\times 10^{14}$W/cm^2, the relative O_2^+ yield decreases by a factor of about 2.5. In contrast, the yield of the O_2^+ normalized to that of the CO^+ channel has no apparent dependence on the laser intensity [Fig. 4(b)]. As already shown in previous sections, the O_2^+ channel and the CO^+ channel have similar angular dependence and exhibit the same width of the KER distributions. Together with independence of the relative channel strength on the laser intensity these observations imply that the dynamics leading to the two channels may happen on the same potential energy surfaces. A better understanding of the origin of the O_2^+ formation may be obtained from pump-probe experiments with few-cycle laser or XUV attosecond pulses. Such experiments should be able to temporally resolve the process of O_2^+ formation from CO_2^{2+}.

In conclusion, for the first time in an experiment we observed the production of O_2^+ from CO_2 driven by a strong laser pulse with a reaction microscope. Our accompanying quantum chemical simulations suggest that the bending motion during the strong-field interaction may trigger the O_2^+ formation. The similarity of the measured angular distributions, the width of the KER distribution and the dependence of the relative yields on the laser intensity indicate that the process of O_2^+ formation may originate from the same intermediate species prepared by the strong field ionization as the dissociation to CO^+ and O^+. Further insight may gained in the future by applying the coincidence detection method used in our experiment to time-resolved studies on the O_2 formation process from CO_2 with a pump-probe scheme. Our results may trigger further experimental and theoretical investigations on the mechanism of O_2 production from CO_2 and the optimization of the O_2 production efficiency with parameters of laser pulses.

Moreover, our observation on the O_2^+ production from CO_2^{2+} can also provide useful hints and new concepts for the studies on planetary atmospheres. In planetary atmospheres, the existing CO_2 can be ionized via absorbing high energy photons or colliding with high energy electrons, which then might lead to the O_2 formation like in our observation. For example, the abundance of O_2 measured on Mars by the Herschel spacecraft [30] and the Curiosity rover [31] may contain the contribution of O_2 formation in the CO_2^{2+} layer which is predicted to be existing in the atmosphere of Mars [32].

This research was financed by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under grants P25615-N27, P28475-N27, P21463-N22, P27491-N27, P25827 and SFB-F49 NEXTlite, and by a starting grant from the European Research Council (ERC project CyFi). We also acknowledge the Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC) for providing computational resources and the COST actions CM1204

(XLIC) and CM1405 (MOLIM).

* Electronic address: xinhua.xie@tuwien.ac.at

[1] G. Singhal, G. Renger, S. Sopory, K. Irrgang, *et al.*, *Concepts in photobiology: photosynthesis and photomorphogenesis* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).

[2] M. Hecht, J. Hoffman, and M. Team, in *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference*, Vol. 46 (2015) p. 2774.

[3] W. C. Chueh, C. Falter, M. Abbott, D. Scipio, P. Furler, S. M. Haile, and A. Steinfeld, Science **330**, 1797 (2010).

[4] D.-Y. Hwang and A. M. Mebel, Chemical Physics **256**, 169 (2000); S. Y. Grebenshchikov, The Journal of Chemical Physics **138**, 224106 (2013).

[5] Z. Lu, Y. C. Chang, Q.-Z. Yin, C. Y. Ng, and W. M. Jackson, Science **346**, 61 (2014).

[6] R. Itakura, K. Yamanouchi, T. Tanabe, T. Okamoto, and F. Kannari, The Journal of Chemical Physics **119**, 4179 (2003).

[7] M. F. Kling, C. Siedschlag, A. J. Verhoef, J. I. Khan, M. Schultze, T. Uphues, Y. Ni, M. Uiberacker, M. Drescher, F. Krausz, and M. J. J. Vrakking, Science **312**, 246 (2006).

[8] I. Znakovskaya, P. von Den Hoff, S. Zherebtsov, A. Wirth, O. Herrwerth, M. J. J. Vrakking, R. de Vivie-Riedle, and M. F. Kling, Physical Review Letters **103**, 103002 (2009).

[9] X. Xie, K. Doblhoff-Dier, S. Roither, M. S. Schöffler, D. Kartashov, H. Xu, T. Rathje, G. G. Paulus, A. Baltuška, S. Gräfe, and M. Kitzler, Physical Review Letters **109**, 243001 (2012).

[10] D. Geißer, P. Marquetand, J. González-Vázquez, L. González, T. Rozgonyi, and T. Weinacht, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A **116**, 11434 (2012).

[11] A. S. Alnaser, M. Kübel, R. Siemering, B. Bergues, N. G. Kling, K. J. Betsch, Y. Deng, J. Schmidt, Z. A. Alahmed, A. M. Azzeer, *et al.*, Nature Communications **5**, 3800 (2014).

[12] X. Xie, K. Doblhoff-Dier, H. Xu, S. Roither, M. S. Schöffler, D. Kartashov, S. Erattupuzha, T. Rathje, G. G. Paulus, K. Yamanouchi, *et al.*, Physical Review Letters **112**, 163003 (2014).

[13] X. Xie, S. Roither, M. Schöffler, E. Lötstedt, D. Kartashov, L. Zhang, G. G. Paulus, A. Iwasaki, A. Baltuška, K. Yamanouchi, and M. Kitzler, Physical Review X **4**, 021005 (2014).

[14] P. Marquetand, T. Weinacht, T. Rozgonyi, J. González-Vázquez, D. Geißer, and L. González, in *Advances in Multi-Photon Processes and Spectroscopy* (World Scientific Publishing Co, 2014) pp. 1–54.

[15] S. Miura, T. Ando, K. Ootaka, A. Iwasaki, H. Xu, T. Okino, K. Yamanouchi, D. Hoff, T. Rathje, G. G. Paulus, *et al.*, Chemical Physics Letters **595-596**, 61 (2014); X. Xie, E. Lötstedt, S. Roither, M. Schöffler, D. Kartashov, K. Midorikawa, A. Baltuška, K. Yamanouchi, and M. Kitzler, Scientific reports **5**, 12877 (2015).

[16] W. A. Bryan, J. H. Sanderson, A. El-Zein, W. R. Newell, P. F. Taday, and A. J. Langley, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics **33**, 745 (2000); J. P. Brichta, S. J. Walker, R. Helsten, and J. H. Sanderson, *ibid.* **40**, 117 (2007); I. Bocharova, R. Karimi, E.-F. Penka, J.-P. Brichta, P. Lassonde, X. Fu, J.-C. Kieffer, A.-D. Bandrauk, I. Litvinyuk, J. Sanderson, and F. Légaré, Physical Review Letters **107**, 063201 (2011); C. Wu, G. Zhang, C. Wu, Y. Yang, X. Liu, Y. Deng, H. Liu, Y. Liu, and Q. Gong, Physical Review A **85**, 063407 (2012); S. Erattupuzha, S. Larimian, A. Baltuška, X. Xie, and M. Kitzler, The Journal of Chemical Physics **144**, 024306 (2016).

[17] P. Reijnen, A. Kleyn, U. Imke, and K. Snowdon, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms **33**, 451 (1988); N. Lorente and R. Monreal, Surface Science **370**, 324 (1997).

[18] J. Ullrich, R. Moshammer, A. Dorn, R. D?rner, L. P. H. Schmidt, and H. Schmidt-Böcking, Reports on Progress in Physics **66**, 1463 (2003); R. Dörner, V. Mergel, O. Jagutzki, J. U. L. Spielberger, R. Moshammer, and H. Schmidt-Böcking, Physics Reports **330**, 95 (2000).

[19] A. S. Alnaser, X. M. Tong, T. Osipov, S. Voss, C. M. Maharjan, B. Shan, Z. Chang, and C. L. Cocke, Physical Review A **70**, 023413 (2004).

[20] L. Zhang, S. Roither, X. Xie, D. Kartashov, M. Schöffler, H. Xu, A. Iwasaki, S. Gräfe, T. Okino, K. Yamanouchi, *et al.*, Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics **45**, 085603 (2012).

[21] X. Xie, S. Roither, D. Kartashov, E. Persson, D. G. Arbó, L. Zhang, S. Gräfe, M. S. Schöffler, J. Burgdörfer, A. Baltuška, and M. Kitzler, Physical Review Letters **108**, 193004 (2012).

[22] M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, S. T. Elbert, M. S. Gordon, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, N. Matsunaga, K. A. Nguyen, S. Su, *et al.*, Journal of Computational Chemistry **14**, 1347 (1993).

[23] X.-M. Tong, Z.-X. Zhao, and C.-D. Lin, Physical Review A **66**, 033402 (2002).

[24] P. Pulay, International Journal of Quantum Chemistry **111**, 3273 (2011); K. Andersson and B. O. Roos, "Modern electronic structure theory, part i," (World Scientific, 1995) Chap. Multiconfigurational second-order perturbation theory; B. O. Roos, in *Theory and Applications of Computational Chemistry*, edited by C. E. Dykstra, G. Frenking, K. S. Kim, and G. E. Scuseria (Elsevier, 2005) pp. 725 – 764; J. Finley, P.-Å. Malmqvist, B. O. Roos, and L. Serrano-Andrés, Chemical Physics Letters **288**, 299 (1998).

[25] F. Aquilante, J. Autschbach, R. K. Carlson, L. F. Chibotaru, M. G. Delcey, L. De Vico, I. Fdez. Galvn, N. Ferr, L. M. Frutos, L. Gagliardi, *et al.*, Journal of Computational Chemistry **37**, 506 (2016).

[26] P. Sándor, V. Tagliamonti, A. Zhao, T. Rozgonyi, M. Ruckenbauer, P. Marquetand, and T. Weinacht, Physical Review Letters **116**, 063002 (2016).

[27] M. Shapiro and P. Brumer, Reports on Progress in Physics **66**, 859 (2003).

[28] C. Daniel, J. Full, L. González, C. Lupulescu, J. Manz, A. Merli, Š. Vajda, and L. Wöste, Science **299**, 536 (2003).

[29] P. Marquetand and V. Engel, The Journal of Chemical Physics **127**, 084115 (2007); P. Marquetand, P. Nuernberger, T. Brixner, and V. Engel, *ibid.* **129**, 074303 (2008).

[30] P. Hartogh, C. Jarchow, E. Lellouch, M. de Val-Borro, M. Rengel, R. Moreno, A. Medvedev, H. Sagawa,

B. Swinyard, T. Cavalié, *et al.*, Astronomy & Astrophysics **521**, L49 (2010).
[31] P. R. Mahaffy, C. R. Webster, S. K. Atreya, H. Franz, M. Wong, P. G. Conrad, D. Harpold, J. J. Jones, L. A. Leshin, H. Manning, *et al.*, Science **341**, 263 (2013).
[32] O. Witasse, O. Dutuit, J. Lilensten, R. Thissen, J. Zabka, C. Alcaraz, P.-L. Blelly, S. W. Bougher, S. Engel, L. H. Andersen, and K. Seiersen, Geophysical Research Letters **29**, 104 (2002).